Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

\boxtimes	Original		Updated	C	orrected		Supplemental	
LRB	Number	09-2329/1		Introdu	ction Numbe	r S	B-172	
Limitin	Description Limiting a city's and village's use of direct annexation and authorizing limited town challenges to an annexation							
Fiscal	Effect							
	No State Fisc Indeterminate Increase E Appropria Decrease Appropria	e Existing tions Existing	Reven Decre Reven	ase Existing	to absort	o withir Yes	- May be possible n agency's budget \textsquare No s	
1 =	No Local Gov Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	e Costs sive Mandat	3. Increa ory Permi: 4. Decre	se Revenue ssive Manda ase Revenue ssive Manda	tory Town	ent Un is [2 ties [ol [its Affected Village ⊠Citie Others WTCS Districts	∋ S
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agen	cy/Prepared	Ву	1	Authorized Sig	nature		Date	
CTS/	Nancy Rottier	r (608) 267-973	вз Г	Nancy Rottier (6	608) 267-9733		11/16/200)9

Fiscal Estimate Narratives CTS 11/16/2009

LRB Number 09-2329/1	Introduction Number	SB-172	Estimate Type	Original			
Description Limiting a city's and village's use of direct annexation and authorizing limited town challenges to an							
annexation							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill limits the use of direct annexations and also limits the issues that form the basis for a circuit court challenge of certain annexations.

Under this bill, some claims may be brought that would otherwise be ineligible. The bill also limits the issues that may form the basis for certain annexation challenges. Although additional workload could be generated if more actions are filed in circuit court, no significant impact is expected upon circuit court operations as a result of this bill.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental
LRB	Number	09-2329/1		Introd	uction Numb	oer S	B-172
Descr i Limitin annexa	g a city's and	l village's use o	f direct annexa	ation and auth	orizing limited to	wn challe	enges to an
Fiscal	Effect						
	No State Fisc ndeterminate Increase I Appropria Decrease Appropria Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Rever Decre Rever	ease Existing	to abs		- May be possible n agency's budget No
	Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	e Costs sive Mandato	3. Increa ory Permi 4. Decre	ase Revenue issive Man ease Revenue issive Man	datory	ment Uni	its Affected Village
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS							
Agenc	y/Prepared	Ву		Authorized S	ignature		Date
DOA/ (Cathleen Cor	nolly (608) 261	-2292	Martha Kerne	r (608) 266-1359	1	4/29/2009

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOA 4/29/2009

LRB Number 09-2329/1	Introduction Number	SB-172	Estimate Type	Original			
Description Limiting a city's and village's use of direct annexation and authorizing limited town challenges to an							
annexation							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

STATE FISCAL EFFECT

Senate Bill 172's proposed change in law will not impact the state's responsibility to review proposed annexations within counties having a population of 50,000 or more and issue an advisory opinion as to whether the annexation is in or against the public interest as defined in statute. Therefore, there is no anticipated state fiscal effect.

LOCAL FISCAL EFFECT

Senate Bill 172's proposed change in law would clarify the prohibition against direct annexation by unanimous consent of any property that is not contiguous to the annexing city or village. The bill would also allow towns to initiate an action to contest a direct annexation by unanimous consent on the ground that the land being annexed is not contiguous to the annexing city or village.

The proposed changes may limit the number of annexations that are proposed under the direct annexation by unanimous consent option, however, cities, villages and private parties may choose other options. It is not possible to forecast whether overall the number of proposed annexations will decrease or increase.

The bill does not require any party to engage in litigation. The proposed changes may result in greater litigation around the issue of contiguity of annexed land and, therefore, result in greater costs for towns, cities and villages. However, those possible costs are speculative and indeterminate.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications